## Sealaska Asked To Seek Solicitor's Opinion

Hydaburg Town Council Hydaburg, Alaska 90922 Jan. 14, 1974

Comm. Morris Thompson Bureau of Indian Affairs Washington, D.C. 20245

Dear Commissioner Thompson:

The following is the delivery rendered to the stockholders of Sealaska Corporation of Southeastern Alaska; relative upcoming election three months following end of fiscal year. We would appreciate the solicitor's opinion to be rendered to all villages composing Sealaska, Circular of Jan. 3, 1974 to stock-holders of Sealaska Corp., "Your Sealaska management will propose a slate of nominees as candidates for election to the Board of Directors." It was explained by the representative of Sealaska that Federal Securities Commission required by law a payment thousand dollars for a ion to solicit proxy of four corporation votes, and that only the nominat ing committee set up from the Board of Directors would have the authority to cast such votes, that even if the villages elected their representative on the slate of nominees the villages cannot cast their own proxy votes. The report from the Alaska Native Foundation states, "That sources say that no problems are anticipated in obtaining the exemption from the 1940 act, which require strict reporting standards for a corporation if more than 40 percent of its assets are inm securities. The exemp-tion would extend through 1975, or until the corporations have obtained title to assets in land." extend through

land."

Contrary to Commissioner
Thompsons' report to the Alaska Native Brotherhood Convention that Sealaska will pick no
lands, the President of Sealaska
asserts that Sealaska Corp. will
pick two hundred thousand

acres of land.

We have only handbooks on Corporation law, but General corporation law, but General corporation laws of the United States are binding on States; consequently in many, perhaps all of the states, only stockholders or those holding proxies for them can vote at a general election. Many questions have arisen between pledgors and pledges about their rights to vote the pledged stock. Again a pledgor who pledges his stock in good faith but in turn enables the pledges to vote it and effect an unlawful purpose, (such as to perpetuate himself on themselves

(Continued on Page 9)