# Judge refuses suit; hospital to close 
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# Reasons for suit rejection unknown 

(Continued from Page One) reduced. Choate cites frequent ice fogs and summer fogs in Fairbanks which occasionally keep airplanes from landing. Airplanes are the main transportation from the villages to Fairbanks.

The suit also states that because of the limited access, fewer people will seek medical care if needed and such a discouragement constitutes an irreparable injury, according to the American Medical Association.

The suit further contends that there is considerable evidence that village residents are "more comfortable" being treated in the villages and don't trust the Fairbanks hospital. Such feelings weigh considerably in the patients' ability to get well, said the suit.

The suit also contends that by losing the village-based hospital, the Athabascans are losing their representation in their health care delivery as directed by the Indian Self-Determination Act and in the Indian Health Care Improvement

Aet of 1976.
Tanana contended in its suit that it was not adequately represented by the Tanana Chiefs Conference in the matter. TCC had supported closing the hos. pital until this year's TCC annual meeting when members objected.

TCC attacked the matter on a different angle and contended that the legislative history of the federal funding for the hospital was to pay for continued operation of the hospital, not for the hospital's closure.
The U.S. Justice Department, representing the federal government, relied on the fact that TCC had carried out the contract which resulted in the decision to close the hospital. One area of the legal brief from the government said that TCC was coming "before the court with unclean hands,"
because of jts previous position.

The decision to close the hospital doesn't have to get village permission because the decision was "an administrative decision to close an antiquated facility and use the funds elsewhere," said the government.

The closure will allow the government to cut funding for the hospital from $\$ 2$ million per year to $\$ 250,000$ per year.
The hospital already has stopped taking overnight patients and hopes to begin full-scale outpatient service by Oct. 1 .

Choate said he was to meet with the Tanana Village Council this week to find out what they wanted to do. An appeal would depend on Fitzgerald's reasons for rejecting the Tanana suit. Choate also said that an appeal is costly and the village has limited resources.

