Land Claims Evidence

NUNAM KITLUTSISTI Protector of the Land Box 267

June 3, 1974

Curtis McVee Area Director Bureau of Land Management 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Dear Mr. McVee: At the May meeting of the Association of Village Council Presidents, Louis Lively, Director of the Land Planning Dept., Calista, informed us that a Bureau of Land Management agent would investigate each Native Land Allotment for physical evidence of use and occupancy. It is understood that if evidence is lacking on lands claimed for Native Land Allotments then BLM will reject

the applications. Nunam Kitlutsisti knows that the BLM agent will not find physical evidence of use in many physical evidence of use in many allotments. The majority of land allotments are used for hunting, berry-picking, and trapping, all activities in which physical evidence would not ordinarily appear. Native hunters do not like to leave anything behind since the scent of man discourages game from coming around these areas. Other allotments are berrypicking sites and are close to home so that it is not necessary to leave camp equipment behind. This leads to several ques-

tions: 1. Who decides whether or not to accept Native Land Al-

lotment applications? 2. Will BLM interview each applicant about use and occu-

pancy of the land allotment?

3. Why does the applicant have only 30 days to appeal their case after the letter of rejection reaches the post office? made this policy?

4. Nunam Kitlutsisti understands that BLM intends to re-ject 1,900 Native Land Allot-ment applications in the Calista region even before examining

allotments: Why?

5. What are BLM intentions regarding Native Land Allotments?

You are invited to attend a meeting in Bethel on June 17 with the board of Nunam Kit-lutsisti to discuss these matters. Sincerely yours, Elizabeth C. Newton, Bethel

David Friday, Chevak Charlie Kairaiuak, Chefornak C: Harold Napoleon, Yupiktak

Bista

Louis Lively, Calista Rogers Morton, Sec. of the Interior

