SCHOOL SSS BEING MISUSED?

Committee Claims

Federal Funds Not **Meant For Boarding**

A committee under the auspices of the Alaska Feder ation of Natives, appointed to make recommendations for the distribution of Johnson-O'Malley education funds for Alaska, has voted not to allow those funds to be used for the State Boarding Home and dormitory programs

The committee vetoed the funding on the premise that such Tred Zarott, Kodrak. Suran use of Johnson-O'Malley funds. Murphy, Bethel, Mary Jane Late violated the intent of the Act. Fairbanks. Notice Peratoryich. under which they were appro- Anchorage, Robert Clark, Dil priated.

O'Malley Advisory Committee are Marlene Johnson, Hoonah,

lingham, James La Balle, Auch-Members of the Johnson orage, Petry Mendenhall Nome Laura Phillips, Copper River. Grant Ballot, Kotzebue, Andrew Koso, Anchorage, and Brenda Itta. Anchorage.

> "Johnson O'Malley is supplement money, commented Superintendant of State Operated Schools, Stan Friese - It cannot be used to replace or to fund regular school programs So the question arises. Should Johnson O'Malley Junds go into that (the Boarding Home and dormitors programs) or should the State support that "

> Friese said SOS was in the process of assuming the Board

> > to continued on page to

Committee Claims . .

ing Home Program when he heard of the of the committee's decision.

It looked like we were about to pick up a program that was going to lose 90 per cent of its funding."

The program has been run in the past by the State Department of Education, and heavily on Johnson-O'Malley funding. Last year's costs ran in the neighborhood of \$41; milion neighborhood of S4/, million, with only about \$300,000 coming from the State of Alaska's general fund and the balance from Johnson-O'Malley.

"SOS has not refled heavily on Johnson-O'Malley," said Friese "Ot the total SOS budget of about \$35 million for last year's operation of the widespread rural school system. only about \$400,000 came from

Johnson-O'Malley

The SOS budget for the coming year is about \$40 million

Does the state have money to fund the Boarding Home and dormitory programs

Home and dormitory programs if that is the ultimate decision?
"It doesn't," Friese answered.
"It is liable to cost all the

districts in Alaska money."

Native leaders have complained that the State of Alaska ras historically misused Johnson O'Malley funds, which were appropriated to meet special

appropriated to meet special needs of Indian children, over and above the basic education needs provided by the State.

Commented Friese, "With that kind of money you can really enrich the educational system. IF the state is funding the basics."

the basics.

Over all the hubub hangs a landmark lawsuit filed on behalf of a native student, Molly Hooteh. The suit points up the state's obligation to provide a secondary education to every child in the State of Alaska within the community in which that student resides.

The issue, which is perhaps more of a pocketbook issue for the State than one of recogniz-ing legal and moral obligation. has become a focal point for the crisis in the State's delivery system of upper education in

rural areas.

Crioso foresees that Boarding Home and Boarding School programs will diminish as regional high schools are built as regional right schools are fullf in remote areas. "But-I don't think that means the need for these programs will disappear altogether," he added.

"I am not ready to admit that the small village high school of three to five students is the complete answer. It's quite a complicated program in How do you provide Alaska: secondary education in community?"

community?"

Senator John Sackett believes that under the State Constitution, the State will be forced to allocate funding for chool construction in rural ireas

Sackett anticipates a fight in the next legislature on est-ablishing the priority of education as one of the state's top needs. He sees the job of the

Legislature as one of continuing what was initiated in the last session. Finding a method of going toward local control," he going toward local control, he stated. "The next action then would be tural school con-struction, and from there you go down to curriculum." The issue of tural education in Alaska became a key issue in the last legislature, where a

bill was introduced to abolish SOS—altogether and institute local control. After much discussion, it was held over for the next legislative session with two interim committees appointed to study the problems and report back in January

U.S.: Senator Henry M Jackson, in introducing the Indian Self-Determination and February of this year, commented on the Johnson-O'Malley Act. The bill is still pending before Congress.

In the past few years, es Sen. Jackson, Som "In the past tew year-states Sen, Jackson, "some major questions and criticisms have evolved regarding administrative policy of the BIA in implementing the Johnson-O'Malley Net and the irse or misuse of such tunds by the local public school districts." "The vagueness and ambiguity of the Act has resulted in varied and inconsistent administrates."

varied and inconsistent admini-strative procedures within the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the State education agencies, the local school districts. absence of a clear concise policy which defines compliance and programmatic responsibility the school districts in administering these programs has produced a long history of inefficient and ineffective pro-grams which have not met the

grams which have not meeting special needs of Indian enildren."
"The last decade," observes Jackson, "has seen an increased demand from Indian people for the control of th more involvement decisions which affect their lives and the lives of their children Presently, there is great concern and dissatisfaction in local Indian communities regarding the misuse and inadequacies of Johnson-O'Malley programs and the lack of Indian participation.

The Alaska Federation of Natives Review Committee for Johnson-O'Malley funds in the Johnson O Maney Turner in the being State of Alaska came into being only in June. Clearly, however, they have already begun to evidence the concerns of which Jackson speaks.

The Department of Educa-

tion must reassess what are the state's responsibilities under Constitutional law. In the Constitutional law. In the meantime a \$4½ million dollar tab for the complex State Boarding Home and dornitory school programs lies on the table, waiting for someone to pick it up.