

Apprenticeship Opportunities

FAIRBANKS ALASKA AREA PLUMBERS AND PIPEFITTERS JOINT APPRENTICESHIP TRAIN- ING COMMITTEE

Fairbanks Alaska Area Plumbers and Pipefitters Joint Apprenticeship Training Committee will be accepting applications for Apprentice Plumbers and Pipefitters from Nov. 23, 1992 to Dec. 7, 1992. Applicants must apply in person at 1978 Burgess Avenue, Fairbanks, Alaska. Office Hours: 8 A.M. to 12 P.M., 1 P.M. to 5 P.M., Mon - Fri
Telephone: (907) 456-5989.

Requirements upon making application:

A. Years of Age: A minimum of 18, maximum of 27.

B. Provide the following documents:

1. Birth certificate
2. High School Diploma & Transcript or G.E.D. Certificate and report of test results
3. Military Transfer or Discharge Form DD-214, if applicable.
4. Drug Free

Applicants will be required to:

- A. Successfully complete Aptitude Test (GATB) at Alaska State Department of Labor, Job Services
- B. Appear for interview when scheduled

Fairbanks Alaska Area Joint Apprenticeship Training Committee is an Equal Opportunity Employer providing Equal Employment Opportunity without regard to race, creed, color, sex, or national origin. (Exp. 12/07)

Don't Risk the Future of Rural Alaska...

Proposition #1, on the November 1992 general election ballot, asks voters to decide the question: "Shall there be a constitutional convention?" The Alaska Constitution requires that every ten years this question automatically be placed on the general election ballot. A "no" vote means the voter believes there should not be a constitutional convention at this time.

Reasons why there should NOT be a constitutional convention:

1. Rural Alaska would be at a disadvantage. If a convention is called, rural Alaska's concerns would likely be dominated by urban interests. It is not likely that rural interests would fare well in a convention.
2. It isn't necessary. Coalition-building among all Alaskans will allow us to make the changes to the Constitution, as needed. In fact, the state Constitution can be amended at any time, either by a call for a convention by the Legislature or by a vote of two-thirds of each house, ratified by a majority of voters in the next election.
3. It would cost a lot of money. A constitutional convention would be expensive. When we can't even afford basic services, we certainly should not spend scarce funds on a convention with no clear purpose.
4. It would be dangerous. Calling a convention puts the entire constitution at risk. The fact that urban, single-issue groups are pushing for a convention is a dangerous sign that a convention could be slanted toward individual causes rather than the welfare of the general public.

Vote "No" on Proposition #1

Paid for by the Save Our Constitution Committee - Vote No on Proposition #1 • 1063 W. 20th Ave., Anchorage, Alaska 99503 • Arliss Sturgulewski and Mark Boyer, Co-Chairs • (907) 279-4836