Tlingit-Haida Central Council Questions Letter to Editor Citizen's Outrage on Land Claims

TLINGIT AND HAIDA INDIANS OF ALASKA CENTRAL COUNCIL 21 February 1967

Editors, Alaskan newspapers Gentlemen:

Native land claims has been rated one of the big newsstories of the past year - and little wonder. How can any responsible citizen help being outraged by native land claims as presented in published reports? Newsstories have pictured these as groundless irresponsible claims, and yet the very furor they have caused should indicate that there is some basis for making them. If groundless, they would be disregarded.

Under the Alaska Statehood Act, the State was given the right to select 103 million acres of federal land within Alaska's boundaries and was allowed twenty-five years to complete the land selection program. This has been reported and the people of Alaska must be aware of this.

Section 4 of that same Statehood Act also provides that the "State and its people do agree and declare that they forever disclaim all right and title...to any lands or other property (including fishing rights), the right or title to which may be held by any Indians, Eskimos or Aleuts (hereinafter called natives) or is held by the United States in trust for said natives."

If this clearly stated provision of the law of the United States carries the same weight as other provisions of the law, then the State Government has no more right to select your offices ar your homes for the State of Alaska without due process of law and just compensation. If we, the people of Alaska accepted the terms of statehood in good faith, how is any attempt to breech the law justified? Certainly state financial needs do not justify this.

The question may be asked, "Why are the natives singled out for this protection?" A review of the nature of the laws of the United States regarding aborigines reveals the genesis of the approach. Our basic law, the Constitution, did not accord citizenship outright to the Indians. The Emancipation Proclamation - the document resulting from the Civil War that supposedly meant equality and justice for all - also left the Indians out. We were well into the twentieth century before the first citizens of America were given the recognition of United States citizen ship.

open 9:30 to 6:30 Fridays 9:30 to 9 Mail Orders Accepted WESTINGHOUSE PLAYER WESTINGHOUSE ecord Player all colors uases

By then the right of the Indians to any portion of their continent had faded, and possession had been taken by the Federal Government for itself. The Indians were subjected to scores of years of ill-considered legislation, treaties and broken promises. Treaties and provisions of law dealing with Indians always have a nice sound when initially brought into existence and might, if adhered to, be equitable. The history of such enactments, however, is one of subjection to "let's change the rules" enforcement as soon as the rights left the Indians are coveted by what one must, under the circumstances, refer to as the "immigrant American population."

One of the darkest pages in the history of American justice is in the abrogation of native rights. And yet the people who perpetrated these great wrongs were not conniving villains; they were just good American people that "needed" what the Indians had. Legislation subsequent to Indian citizenship recognized that the Federal Government may have been wrong and attempted to correct those wrongs if they, indeed, existed.

Our Alaskan newspapers clamor for a swift settlement of land claims, (one that would put the native people in their place), pointing out with some alarm that eight of the twentyfive years for land selections are now passed. But please note that the first documented claim of the Tlingit and Haida Indians is now 98 years old, and that our first Indian emissary went to Washington on land matters in 1899. His plea for a little plot of land for each Indian was ignored. The plea for action didn't warrant public attention or concern until someone other than natives felt a direct involvement. Such indifference seems to be an erosion of the basic principle that while the majority rules, the right of the minorities must be observed and protected.

The matter of native rights, largely unresolved, is much too complex to outline in this or any single letter. The very complexity lands itself to gravely stated accounts and series of accounts that are unabashedly against the natives' retaining

historic rights.

To discuss the issue in the light of the state's financial needs is unfair: No citizen's rights should be sacrificed to that end. To discuss it on the basis of size is equally unfair: Can we arbitrarily take away millions from a Rockefeller because he has so many of them?

Alaska's natives seek justice and equity in the matter of their claims to their traditional lands. To disinherit the natives in the name of progress is to disregard justice. We, too, want progress, but surely no American wants "progress" at the price of a sellout of the principles of our Constitution, both as in regard to the property rights of a citizen of the United States and his right to human dignity.

> Sincerely, TLINGIT AND HAIDA INDIANS OF ALASKA Dennis Demmert Central Council Secretary 785 Harris Street Ketchikan, Alaska

Native Leaders, Hickel to Meet

(continued from Page 1)

held in the Solarium of the Alaska Native Medical Third Avenue and Center, Gambell Street, in Anchorage.

Transportation and nomin al expenses of \$15 per person is being provided by the state through a supplemental appropriation which was rushed through legislature for the 30 invited leaders.

They are:

Flore Lekanof, Chairman, Alaska Federation of Native Associations; Richard Ketzler, Nenana; Clara Anderson, Fairbanks, Alaska Native Youth Association; Andrew Isaac, Tanacross.

William Hensley, Kotzebue, State Representative, of the Northwest Native Association; Jerome Trigg, Arctic Native Association, Nome; Sam Taalak, North Slope Native Association, Barrow; Tony Lewis, president, Kuskokwim Native Association, Bethel- Frank A. Degnan, Unalakleet; Alfred Grant, Jr., Tanana, Alaska; Ernest Norton, Kotzebue; Walter Noden, Dilling-

Edward Gregorieoff, Tatiek; Gordon J. Olson, Cordova: Seraphan Steff, Tyonek; Byron J. Mallot, Yakatat; Dr. Walter Soboleff, president, Alaska Native Brotherhood; Harry Carter, Kodiak; and John Borbridge, Jr.,

Tlingit-Haida Representative at large.

Oscar J. Craig, president, Copper River Native Association; Ed Nollner, Jr., Chief, Galena Village; John Sack; ett, Huslia, State Representative; Ralph Perdue, Fairbanks Native Association; Jules Wright, Fairbanks Native Association; Harvey Westdahl, Northwest Native Association, Peter John, Min to.

Emil Notti, Cook Inlet Native Association; Ray Christiansen, Bethel, State Representative John West-dahl, Bethel; Carl Moses; King Cove, State Representative.

"Ultimately we need to arrive at a unified position statewide on the land claims matters," Hickel said.

"That will give us the strength to achieve what is best for Alaska's Natives and for all her citizens in resolving these claims." A closed 'organizational'

meeting will be held at 10 a.m. between the Governor and the Native leaders and an open meeting will be held at 2 p.m.

Representatives of the North Slope Native Association last week indicated to Tundra Times they did not intend to attend the conference.

Galena, Alaska February 20, 1967

Dear Editor:

I have been subscribing to Tun dra Times paper yearly and found this very interested and educational to not only myself but to those who keep up in our problems in our State of Alaska.

If it wasn't for this paper, I would not have known of who is leaders of the Native Association that is steadily forming in Alaska, plus Land Claims, Education, housing, employment and health and welfare.

This newspaper informs us of who's who in different departments, etc., so I would like to subscribe once again for this Centennial Year, 1967.

> Sincerely, Edgar Nollner Jr.

'Paperwork'

(continued from Page 1)

would do his best to help. The staff answered that they would wait only until quitting time, 2 p.m. Bering Sea Time, because they had already waited a week. When they received no further word, they sent the following telegram to Shriver, Bartlett. Gruening, and Pollock:

"We, the staff of Kotzebue Head Start Program, have not been paid for the working period of January 30 through February 10. We need money to buy food, fuel and elec-

tricity. "The

regional ASCAP office in Nome and the ASCAP headquarters Anchorage have been contacted without results. It is a week that we have not been paid. Money is urgently needed and we would appreciate your help."

ASCAP's executive director. Flore Lekanof, said that the staff had not been paid because the necessary form had not been received by his office. He said that, by regulation, he could not write out a check until he had this Form 102 to show where the money was going.

Lekanof said that he was trying to track down the form. He added that Don Perkins of the Nome office was on a trip to the villages and Perkins' secretary was on leave, so there was some problem in thoroughly checking through the Nome office for the form.

In addition, Lekanof said, the form could be lost in. the mail - it had once taken six weeks for a letter from Kotzebue to reach him in Fairbanks.

At press time, the Kotzebut staff had not yet been paid. The missing pay totals \$1,118.40.

The Kotzebue Head-Start staff consists of three teachers, three teacher aids. a cook, her aid, a janitor, and a bookkeeper. All are Native people of Kotzebue.

They report that everybody is in need of the money, but one woman with a family of seven children is in need more than the rest.

"This is the reason why the staff reluctantly took the step of going higher and asking help from the congressmen," reported the